MA612L-Partial Differential Equations

Lecture 38: Nonlinear PDE - Local Existence Theorem

Panchatcharam Mariappan¹

¹Associate Professor Department of Mathematics and Statistics IIT Tirupati, Tirupati

November 10, 2025







Noncharacteristic Boundary Data



$$F(Du, u, x) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

 $u = q \text{ on } \Gamma$

$$\begin{cases}
(a) \quad \dot{\mathbf{p}}(s) = -D_x F(\mathbf{p}(s), z(s), \mathbf{x}(s)) - D_z F(\mathbf{p}(s), z(s), \mathbf{x}(s)) \mathbf{p}(s) \\
(b) \quad \dot{z}(s) = D_p F(\mathbf{p}(s), z(s), \mathbf{x}(s)) \cdot \mathbf{p}(s) \\
(c) \quad \dot{\mathbf{x}}(s) = D_p F(\mathbf{p}(s), z(s), \mathbf{x}(s))
\end{cases}$$
(1)

with initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{p}(0) = \mathbf{p}_0 \\ z(0) = z_0 \\ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \end{cases}$$
 (2)

Assumptions:

- $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \Gamma$, that Γ near \mathbf{x}_0 lies in the plane $\{x_n = 0\}$
- $(\mathbf{p}_0, z_0, \mathbf{x}_0)$ is admissible

Noncharacteristic Boundary Conditions



Theorem 1 (Noncharacteristic Boundary Conditions)

There exists a unique solution q(.) of

$$\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}_0) = p_0$$

and

$$\begin{cases} q_i(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{y}), & i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \\ F(\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{y}), g(\mathbf{y}), \mathbf{y}) = 0 \end{cases}$$
 (3)

for all $y \in \Gamma$ sufficiently close to \mathbf{x}_0 , provided

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial p_0}(\mathbf{p}_0, z_0, \mathbf{x}_0) \neq 0 \tag{4}$$

We say that admissible triple $(\mathbf{p}_0, z_0, \mathbf{x}_0)$ is noncharacteristic if (4) holds.

Local Solution



Remarks

By above theorem, for each $x \in \Omega$, we can locally uniquely solve the equation

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, s) \\ \text{for } \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}), s = s(\mathbf{x}) \end{cases}$$
 (5)

Now, let us define for each $x \in \Omega$ and s, y as in (5)

$$\begin{cases} u(\mathbf{x}) := z(y(\mathbf{x}), s(\mathbf{x})) \\ \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{p}(y(\mathbf{x}), s(\mathbf{x})) \end{cases}$$
 (6)

By the above equations, we can locally weave together the solutions of the characteristic ODE into a solution of the PDE.



Theorem 2

The function u defined by

$$u(\mathbf{x}) := z(y(\mathbf{x}), s(\mathbf{x}))$$

is C^2 and solves the PDE

$$F(Du(\mathbf{x}), u(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \Omega)$$

with the boundary condition

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x}) \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma \cap \Omega)$$



Claim 1: Local Solutions

Fix $y \in \Gamma$ close to x_0 . Then we can solve the characteristic ODE (1) and (2) for

$$\begin{cases}
\mathbf{p}(s) = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{y}, s) = \mathbf{p}(y_1, y_2 \cdots, y_{n-1}, s) \\
z(s) = z(\mathbf{y}, s) = \mathbf{z}(y_1, y_2 \cdots, y_{n-1}, s) \\
\mathbf{x}(s) = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, s) = \mathbf{x}(y_1, y_2 \cdots, y_{n-1}, s)
\end{cases}$$
(7)

Claim 2: There exists f such that

$$f(\mathbf{y}, s) := F(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{y}, s), z(\mathbf{y}, s), \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, s)) = 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}$$
(8)

if y is sufficiently close to x_0 .



By the compatibility condition, we have

$$f(\mathbf{y},0) := F(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{y},0), z(\mathbf{y},0), \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y},0)) = F(\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{y}), g(\mathbf{y}), \mathbf{y}) = 0$$
(9)

Further,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(\mathbf{y},s) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{j}} \dot{p}_{j} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \dot{z} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{j}} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{j}} \left(-\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{j}} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} p_{j} \right) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{j}} p_{j} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{j}} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{j}} \right) \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

By solving $f_s(\mathbf{y}, s) = 0$ and $f(\mathbf{y}, 0) = 0$, claim 2 follows.



Therefore, from local invertibility, we have

$$F(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}), u(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \Omega)$$

To prove our theorem, it is enough to prove that

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}) = Du(\mathbf{x}), \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \Omega)$$

Claim 3:

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial s}(\mathbf{y}, s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j(\mathbf{y}, s) \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial s}(\mathbf{y}, s), \quad \text{for } \mathbf{y} \in W, s \in I$$
 (10)

By using the characteristic ODE (1)(b) and (1)(c), claim 3 follows immediately.



Claim 4:

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial y_i}(\mathbf{y}, s) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_j(\mathbf{y}, s) \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial y_i}(\mathbf{y}, s), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$
(11)

Fix $\mathbf{y} \in \Gamma$, $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n-1\}$ set

$$r_i(s) = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_i}(\mathbf{y}, s) - \sum_{i=1}^n p_j(\mathbf{y}, s) \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial y_i}(\mathbf{y}, s)$$
(12)

Claim 4a: It suffices to prove that $r_i(s)=0, \forall s\in\mathbb{R}, i=1,2,\cdots,n-1$. Using noncharacteristic boundary conditions theorem, equation (3), we can obtain that

$$r_i(0) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{y}) - q_i(\mathbf{y}) = 0$$



Now

$$\dot{r}_{i}(s) = \frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial y_{i} \partial s} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\partial p_{j}}{\partial s} \frac{\partial x_{j}}{\partial y_{i}} + p_{j} \frac{\partial^{2} x_{j}}{\partial y_{i} \partial s} \right]$$
(13)

Similarly,

$$\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial s \partial y_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial s} + p_j \frac{\partial^2 x_j}{\partial y_i \partial s} \right]$$
(14)

Hence,

$$\dot{r}_i(s) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial s} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial y_i} \right] = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial y_i} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial p_j} \right) - \left(-\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} p_j \right) \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial y_i} \right]$$



From claim 2, we have

$$F(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{y},s),z(\mathbf{y},s),\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y},s)) = 0 \implies \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{j}} \frac{\partial p_{j}}{\partial y_{i}} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_{i}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial x_{j}}{\partial y_{i}} = 0$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\dot{r}_i(s) = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} p_j \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial y_i}$$

$$= -\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \left[\frac{\partial z}{\partial y_i} - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial y_i} \right] = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} r_i(s)$$



By solving $\dot{r}_i(s) = -F_z r_i(s)$ and $r_i(0) = 0$, claim 4a and claim 4 follows. Claim 5: If we claim the following, the proof completes.

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}) = Du(\mathbf{x}), \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \Omega)$$

Now, by (6) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} \frac{\partial s}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial s}\right) \frac{\partial s}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial y_i}\right) \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left(\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial s} \frac{\partial s}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_j}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \delta_{jk} = p_j$$

Hence the proof.

Applications: Linear



(16)

Consider the following PDE

$$\begin{cases} F(Du, u, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}).Du(\mathbf{x}) + c(\mathbf{x})u(\mathbf{x}) = 0 & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \Gamma$ and the problem has a noncharacteristic boundary. Then

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}_0).\nu(\mathbf{x}_0) \neq 0$$

We can clearly see that this condition does not depend on z_0 or \mathbf{p}_0 . (Remember! We stated this earlier).



Now, we can uniquely solve this equation

$$D_p F(\mathbf{p}_0, z_0, \mathbf{x}_0) . \nu(x_0) \neq 0$$

for q(y) if $y \in \Gamma$ is near x_0 . By the local existence theorem, we can construct a unique solution of (16)

Remarks

- For proving the theorem, we have used all characteristic ODEs, but it is not necessary to use them if we know the solution exists
- \bullet Instead, we can use the reduced system, which does not involve $\mathbf{p}(.)$
- Since we have a unique solution for the IVP, the projected characteristics $\mathbf{x}(.)$ emanate from distinct points on Γ cannot cross



Let us consider three different cases of trajectories of the ODE

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(s) = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}(s)) \tag{17}$$

Case 1:

Assumptions:

- The vector field ${\bf b}$ vanishes within Ω only at one point.
- Let the point be the origin 0
- $\mathbf{b}.\nu < 0$ on Γ



Question

Can we solve the following IVP?

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}).Du(\mathbf{x}) = 0 & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$
 (18)

By the local existence theorem, there exists a unique u defined near Γ . Further,

$$u(\mathbf{x}(s)) \equiv u(\mathbf{x}(0)) = g(\mathbf{x}_0)$$

for each solution of the ODE (17) with $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \in \Gamma$.

Remarks

- ullet Unless g is constant, this solution can't be smoothly continued to all of Ω
- Any smooth solution of (18) is constant on trajectories of (17)



Case 2:

Assumptions:

 \bullet Each trajectory of the ODE (17) enters and exits Ω exactly once somewhere through the set

$$\Gamma : \{ \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega : \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) . \nu(\mathbf{x}) < 0 \}$$

 Exception for the above assumption on characteristic points where it touches the boundary.

For this case, we can find a smooth solution of (18). Set \boldsymbol{u} to be constant along each flow line.



Case 3:

Assumptions:

• A few trajectories of the ODE (17) enter and exit Ω exactly once somewhere through the set, and a few do not obey it

$$\Gamma : \{ \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega : \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) . \nu(\mathbf{x}) < 0 \}$$

For this case, we can define u to be constant along the characteristics. Then u may be discontinuous at a few points. In this case, the local existence theory may fail near those characteristic points.





Consider the following PDE

$$\begin{cases} F(Du, u, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}, u).Du(\mathbf{x}) + c(\mathbf{x}, u) = 0 & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on}\Gamma \end{cases}$$
 (19)

Let $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \Gamma$ and the problem has noncharacteristic boundary. Then

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}_0, z_0).\nu(\mathbf{x}_0) \neq 0$$

where $z_0=g(\mathbf{x}_0)$. We can clearly see that this condition does not depend on \mathbf{p}_0 . (Remember! We stated this earlier).



Now, we can uniquely solve this equation

$$D_p F(\mathbf{p}_0, z_0, \mathbf{x}_0) . \nu(x_0) \neq 0$$

for q(y) if $y \in \Gamma$ is near x_0 . By the local existence theorem, we can construct a unique solution of (19) in some neighbourhood of x_0 .

Remarks

- Unlike the linear case, it is possible that the projected characteristics emanating from distinct points in Γ may intersect outside Ω .
- If such a scenario occurs, we can understand that our local solution ceases to exist within all of Ω .



Consider the following scalar conservation laws (more problems follow!)

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \mathbf{F}'(u).Du = 0 & \text{in } \Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) \\ u = g & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \{t = 0\} \end{cases}$$
 (20)

Let

$$G(Du, u_t, u, \mathbf{x}, t) = u_t + \mathsf{div}\mathbf{F}(u) = u_t + \mathbf{F}'(u).Du = 0$$

Here,
$$\mathbf{F}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$$
, $\mathbf{F} = (F_1, F_2, \cdots, F_n)$. Set $t = x_{n+1}$.



We can rewrite the above equation as follows: Let $\mathbf{q} = (\mathbf{p}, p_{n+1})$ and $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{x}, t)$, then,

$$G(\mathbf{q}, z, \mathbf{y}) = p_{n+1} + \mathbf{F}'(z).\mathbf{p}$$

Hence

$$D_{\mathbf{q}}G = (\mathbf{F}'(z), 1)$$
$$D_{\mathbf{y}}G = 0$$
$$D_{\mathbf{z}}G = \mathbf{F}''(z).\mathbf{p}$$

We can observe that the noncharacteristic condition is satisfied at each point $y_0 = (x_0, 0) \in \Gamma$. Now, characteristic ODE(a) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i(s) &= F_i'(z(s)), i = 1, 2, \cdot, n \\ \dot{x}_{n+1}(s) &= 1 \end{cases} \tag{21}$$



From above equation, $x_{n+1}(s) = s$ which agrees with $x_{n+1} = t$. In other words, we can identify the parameter s with time t. Therefore, equation (b) in characteristic ODF becomes

$$\dot{z}(s) = 0 \implies z(s) = z_0 = g(\mathbf{x}_0) \tag{22}$$

and

$$\mathbf{x}(s) = \mathbf{F}'(g(\mathbf{x}_0))s + \mathbf{x}_0 \tag{23}$$

Thus the projected characteristic

$$\mathbf{y}(s) = (\mathbf{x}(s), s) = (\mathbf{F}'(g(\mathbf{x}_0))s + \mathbf{x}_0, s)$$

is a straight line along which u is constant.



Crossing Characteristics:

- Suppose the same logic applied to different initial point $z_0 \in \Gamma$ where $g(\mathbf{x}_0) \neq g(z_0)$
- The projected characteristics may intersect at some time t > 0.
- $u \equiv g(\mathbf{x}_0)$, projected characteristic through \mathbf{x}_0
- $u \equiv g(z_0)$, projected characteristic through z_0
- A contradiction.
- The IVP (20) does not, in general, have a smooth solution existing for all times t > 0.



Let us remove s from (22) and (23) to obtain an implicit formula for u. For given $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and t > 0, we see that since s = t,

$$u(\mathbf{x}(t),t) = z(t) = g(\mathbf{x}(t) - t\mathbf{F}'(z_0))$$
$$= g(\mathbf{x}(t) - t\mathbf{F}'(u(\mathbf{x}(t),t)))$$

$$u = g(\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{F}'(u))$$

Remember! Burger's equation solution! Transport equation solution! The above equation gives a solution provided

$$1 + tDg(x - t\mathbf{F}'(u)).\mathbf{F}''(u) \neq 0$$



Remarks

For n = 1, we need

$$1 + tg'(x - tF'(u))F''(u) \neq 0$$

If F''>0, but g'<0, then you will get a false statement at some time t>0. This indicates the failure of both the implicit formula and the characteristic method.



Remarks

The form of the full characteristic equations is quite complicated for nonlinear PDEs. We discuss only a particular type called the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

The general Hamilton-Jacobi PDE is given by

$$G(Du, u_t, u, \mathbf{x}, t) = u_t + H(Du, \mathbf{x}) = 0$$
 (24)

Similar to the above discussion, we have

$$G(\mathbf{q}, z, \mathbf{y}) = p_{n+1} + H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})$$



Hence

$$D_{\mathbf{q}}G = (D_pH(\mathbf{p}, x), 1)$$
$$D_{\mathbf{y}}G = D_xH(\mathbf{p}, x)$$
$$D_{\mathbf{z}}G = 0$$

Now, characteristic ODE (c) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i(s) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(\mathbf{p}(s), \mathbf{x}(s)), i = 1, 2, \cdot, n \\ \dot{x}_{n+1}(s) = 1 \end{cases}$$

(25)



Now, characteristic ODE (a) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p}_i(s) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{p}(s), \mathbf{x}(s)), i = 1, 2, \cdot, n \\ \dot{p}_{n+1}(s) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Now, from characteristic ODE (b) becomes

$$\dot{z}(s) = D_{\mathbf{p}}H(\mathbf{p}(s), \mathbf{x}(s)).\mathbf{p}(s) + p^{n+1}(s)$$
$$= D_{\mathbf{p}}H(\mathbf{p}(s), \mathbf{x}(s)).\mathbf{p}(s) - H(\mathbf{p}(s), \mathbf{x}(s)).\mathbf{p}(s)$$

(26)



Therefore, the characteristic equation for the Hamilton-Jacobi equation is given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p}(s) = -D_{\mathbf{x}}H(\mathbf{p}(s), \mathbf{x}(s)) \\ \dot{z}(s) = D_{\mathbf{p}}H(\mathbf{p}(s), \mathbf{x}(s)).\mathbf{p}(s) + p^{n+1}(s) \\ \dot{x}(s) = D_{\mathbf{p}}H(\mathbf{p}(s), \mathbf{x}(s)) \end{cases}$$
(27)

The equation below is called Hamilton's equations.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p}(s) = -D_{\mathbf{x}}H(\mathbf{p}(s), \mathbf{x}(s)) \\ \dot{x}(s) = D_{\mathbf{p}}H(\mathbf{p}(s), \mathbf{x}(s)) \end{cases}$$
(28)

Once we solve Hamilton's equations, solving the Hamilton-Jacobi equation becomes trivial. In general, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation does not have a smooth solution u lasting for all time $t>0\,$

Thanks

Doubts and Suggestions

panch.m@iittp.ac.in



